Mixture density estimation

Nuno Vasconcelos ECE Department, UCSD

Recall

► last class, we will have "Cheetah Day"

▶ what:

- 4 teams, average of 6 people
- each team will write a report on the 4 cheetah problems
- each team will give a presentation on one of the problems
- I am waiting to hear on the teams





Plan for today

- Iast time we started talking about mixture models
- we introduced the basics of EM
- ► today to motivate EM:
 - "classification-maximization"
 - which is a general case of "K-means"
- ▶ we will then
 - introduce EM
 - solve EM for the case of learning Gaussian mixtures
- next class:
 - proof that EM maximizes likelihood of incomplete data

Mixture density estimate

- we have seen that EM is a framework for ML estimation with missing data
- canonical example:
 - want to classify vehicles into commercial/private
 - X: vehicle weight
 - multimodal density because there is a hidden variable Z (type of car)



z in {compact, sedan, station wagon, pick up, van}

- for a given car type the weight is approximately Gaussian (or has some other parametric form)
- the density is a "mixture of Gaussians"

mixture model

two types of random variables

- Z-hidden state variable
- X observed variable
- observations sampled with a two-step procedure
 - a state (class) is sampled from the distribution of the hidden variable

$$P_{Z}(z) \rightarrow z_{i}$$



 an observation is drawn from the class conditional density for the selected state

$$P_{X|Z}(x|z_i) \rightarrow x_i$$

mixture model

► the sample consists of pairs (x_i, z_i) $D = \{(x_1, z_1), \dots, (x_n, z_n)\}$ but we never get to see the z_i

▶ e.g. bridge example:

sensor only registers weight



- the car class was certainly there, but it is lost by the sensor
- for this reason Z is called hidden



The basics of EM

- ► as usual, we start from an iid sample $D = \{x_1, ..., x_N\}$
- ▶ goal is to find parameters Ψ^* that maximize likelihood with respect to D

$$\begin{split} \Psi^{\star} &= \arg \max_{\Psi} P_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathcal{D}; \Psi) \\ &= \arg \max_{\Psi} \int P_{\mathbf{X}|Z}(\mathcal{D}|z; \Psi) P_{Z}(z; \Psi) dz \end{split}$$

► the set

$$D_c = \{(x_1, z_1), \ldots, (x_N, z_N)\}$$

is called the complete data

► the set

$$D = \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$$

is called the incomplete data

Complete vs incomplete data

- in general, the problem would be trivial if we had access to the complete data
- ▶ we have illustrated this with the specific example of
 - Gaussian mixture of C components
 - parameters $\Psi = \{(\pi_1, \mu_1, \Sigma_1), ..., (\pi_C, \mu_C, \Sigma_C)\}$
- ▶ and shown that,
 - given the complete data D_c , we only need to split the training set according to the labels z_i

$$D^{1} = \{x_{i} | z_{i} = 1\}, \quad D^{2} = \{x_{i} | z_{i} = 2\}, \quad \dots \quad , \ D^{C} = \{x_{i} | z_{i} = C\}$$

• and solve, for each c,

$$(\pi_c^{\star}, \mu_c^{\star}, \Sigma_c^{\star}) = \arg \max_{\pi, \mu, \Sigma} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D}^c, \mu, \Sigma) \pi$$

Learning with complete data

the solution is

$$\pi_{c}^{\star} = \frac{|\{\mathbf{x}_{i} \in \mathcal{D}^{c}\}|}{N}$$
$$\mu_{c}^{\star} = \frac{1}{|\{\mathbf{x}_{i} \in \mathcal{D}^{c}\}|} \sum_{i | \mathbf{x}_{i} \in \mathcal{D}^{c}} \mathbf{x}_{i}$$
$$\Sigma_{c}^{\star} = \frac{1}{|\{\mathbf{x}_{i} \in \mathcal{D}^{c}\}|} \sum_{i | \mathbf{x}_{i} \in \mathcal{D}^{c}} (\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mu_{c}^{\star}) (\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mu_{c}^{\star})^{T}$$

hence, all the hard work seems to be in figuring out what the z_i are

► the EM algorithm does this iteratively

Learning with incomplete data (EM)

- the basic idea is quite simple
 - 1. start with an initial parameter estimate $\Psi^{(0)}$
 - **2. E-step:** given current parameters $\mathcal{\Psi}^{(i)}$ and observations in *D*, "guess" what the values of the z_i are
 - **3. M-step:** with the new z_i , we have a complete data problem, solve this problem for the parameters, i.e. compute $\Psi^{(i+1)}$
 - 4. go to 2.
- this can be summarized as

Classification-maximization

- the question is how do we get the z_i in the E-step?
- we will look at this soon, when we derive EM
- for now let's start with a simpler algorithm, that I would call "Classification-Maximization"
- the idea is the following
 - after the M-step we have an estimate of all the parameters, i.e. an estimate for the densities that compose the mixture
 - we want to find the class-assignments z_i (recall that z_i=k if x_i is a sample from the kth component)
 - but this is a classification problem, and we know how to solve those: just use the BDR
- the steps are as follows

Classification-maximization

► C-step:

- given estimates $\Psi^{(i)} = \{\Psi^{(i)}, \dots, \Psi^{(i)}_{C}\}$
- determine z_i by the BDR

$$z_l = \arg\max_c P_{\mathbf{X}|Z}\left(\mathbf{x}_l|c; \mathbf{\Psi}_c^{(i)}
ight) \pi_c^{(i)}, l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

- split the training set according to the labels z_i $D^1 = \{x_i | z_i = 1\}, \quad D^2 = \{x_i | z_i = 2\}, \quad \dots \quad , \ D^C = \{x_i | z_i = C\}$
- ► M-step:
 - as before, determine the parameters of each class independently

$$\Psi_c^{(i+1)} = \arg \max_{\Psi,\pi} P_{\mathbf{X}|Z}(\mathcal{D}^c|c,\Psi)\pi$$

For Gaussian mixtures

► C-step:

•
$$z_l$$
 = $\arg \max_c \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{x}_l - \mu_c^{(i)} \right)^T \left(\Sigma_c^{(i)} \right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{x}_l - \mu_c^{(i)} \right) -\frac{1}{2} log \left| \Sigma_c^{(i)} \right| + \log \pi_c^{(i)} \right\}, l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

• split the training set according to the labels z_i

$$D^{1} = \{x_{i} | z_{i} = 1\}, \quad D^{2} = \{x_{i} | z_{i} = 2\}, \quad \dots \quad , \ D^{C} = \{x_{i} | z_{i} = C\}$$

► M-step:

•
$$\pi_c^{(i+1)} = \frac{|\{\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathcal{D}^c\}|}{n}$$
 $\mu_c^{(i+1)} = \frac{1}{|\{\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathcal{D}^c\}|} \sum_{i \mid \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathcal{D}^c} \mathbf{x}_i$
 $\Sigma_c^{(i+1)} = \frac{1}{|\{\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathcal{D}^c\}|} \sum_{i \mid \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathcal{D}^c} \left(\mathbf{x}_i - \mu_c^{(i+1)}\right) \left(\mathbf{x}_i - \mu_c^{(i+1)}\right)^T$

K-means

- when covariances are identity and priors uniform
- ► C-step:
 - $z_l = \arg \min_{c} ||\mathbf{x}_l \mu_c^{(i)}||^2, \quad l \in \{1, ..., n\}$
 - split the training set according to the labels z_i $D^1 = \{x_i | z_i = 1\}, \quad D^2 = \{x_i | z_i = 2\}, \quad \dots \quad , \quad D^C = \{x_i | z_i = C\}$
- $u_c^{(i+1)} = ---$
 - $\mu_c^{(i+1)} = \frac{1}{|\{\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathcal{D}^c\}|} \sum_{i | \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathcal{D}^c} \mathbf{x}_i$
- this is the K-means algorithm, aka generalized Loyd algorithm, aka LBG algorithm in the vector quantization literature:
 - "assign points to the closest mean; recompute the means"

K-means and Hierarchical Clustering: Slide 9

K-means and Hierarchical Clustering: Slide 10

K means

▶ why do we care?

- it is optimal if you want to minimize the expected value of the squared error
- it is still the best way to initialize EM

▶ problems:

- how many clusters?
 - various methods available, Bayesian information criterion, Akaike information criterion, minimum description length
 - guessing can work pretty well
- local minimum only
- how do I initialize?
 - random can be pretty bad
 - mean splitting can be significantly better

mean splitting

- ▶ for K = 1 we just need the mean of all points (μ^1)
- ▶ to initialize means for K = 2 perturb the mean randomly
 - $\mu_1^2 = \mu^1$
 - $\mu_2^2 = (1+\varepsilon) \mu^1$ $\varepsilon << 1$
- ► then run K means with K = 2
- ▶ initial means for K = 4
 - $\mu_1^4 = \mu_1^2$
 - $\mu_2^4 = (1 + \varepsilon) \mu_1^2$
 - $\mu_3^4 = \mu_2^2$
 - $\mu_4^{\ 4} = (1 + \varepsilon) \, \mu_2^{\ 2}$

▶ then run K means with K = 4

▶ etc

Empty clusters

- can be a source of headaches
- at the end of each iteration of K means
 - check the number of elements in each cluster
 - if too low, throw the cluster away
 - reinitialize the mean with a perturbed version of that of the most populated cluster
- ► OK, this is k-means. What about EM?
- "filing in" the z_i with the BDR seems intuitive, but
 - Q₁: what about problems that are not about classification?
 - the missing data does not need to be class labels, it could be a continuous random variable
 - Q₂: how do I know that this converges to anything interesting?

Two open questions

Questions

- Q₁: what about problems that are not about classification?
- Q₂: how do I know that this converges to anything interesting?
- ▶ we will look at Q₂ in the next class

► Q₁: EM suggests

- do the most intuitive operation that is ALWAYS possible
- don't worry about the z_i directly
- "estimate the likelihood of the complete data by its expected value given the observed data" (E-step)
- "then maximize this expected value" (M-step)
- this leads to the so-called Q-function

The Q function

▶ is defined as

 $Q(\Psi; \Psi^{(n)}) = E_{Z|\mathbf{X}; \Psi^{(n)}} \left[\log P_{\mathbf{X}, Z}(\mathcal{D}, \{z_1, \dots, z_N\}; \Psi) | \mathcal{D} \right]$

- ▶ and is a bit tricky:
 - it is the expected value of likelihood with respect to complete data (joint X and Z)
 - given that we observed incomplete data (X=D)
 - note that the likelihood is a function of Ψ (the parameters that we want to determine)
 - but to compute the expected value we need to use the parameter values from the previous iteration (because we need a distribution for Z|X)
- ▶ the EM algorithm is, therefore, as follows

Expectation-maximization

► E-step:

- given estimates $\Psi^{(n)} = \{\Psi^{(n)}, \dots, \Psi^{(n)}_{C}\}$
- compute expected log-likelihood of complete data

$$Q(\Psi; \Psi^{(n)}) = E_{Z|\mathbf{X}; \Psi^{(n)}} \left[\log P_{\mathbf{X}, Z}(\mathcal{D}, \{z_1, \dots, z_N\}; \Psi) | \mathcal{D} \right]$$

► M-step:

• find parameter set that maximizes this expected log-likelihood

$$\Psi^{(n+1)} = \arg \max_{\Psi} Q(\Psi; \Psi^{(n)})$$

Iet's make this more concrete by looking at the mixture case

Expectation-maximization

- to derive an EM algorithm you need to do the following
 - 1. write down the likelihood of the COMPLETE data
 - 2. E-step: write down the Q function, i.e. its expectation given the observed data
 - 3. M-step: solve the maximization, deriving a closed-form solution if there is one

